DIRECTORATE OF LEGAL AFFAIRS CENTRAL BOARD OF INDIRECT TAXES & CUSTOMS 4TH FLOOR, RAJENDRA BHAWAN 210. DEEN DAYAL UPADHYAY MARG **NEW DELHI- 110 002**

F.No.1080/02/DLA/Tech/VC/2022/33

Minutes of the Video Conference held on 15.03.2022

Date: 23.03.2022

A meeting was held to review the position of electronic filing of revenue appeals before various High Courts forwarding of SLP/CA proposals through LIMBS and updating of CNR numbers in the LIMBS portal. The list of participants is enclosed as Annexure 'A'.

- 2. A list of High Court wise summary of cases without CNR (AnnexureB) and also Zone wise summary of total cases (Supreme Court + High Court + CESTAT) (Annexure C) where all the field have not been entered, was circulated for necessary action at their end.
- Member invited the attention of all the PCCs/CCs to the orders of the Hon'ble 3. Supreme Court of India in the matter of Bilfinder Neo Structo Construction Ltd. in CA no674/2021. The Supreme Court commented on the delay in filing of SLP in Supreme Court by the department beyond the limitation of period of 90 days and ordered for constitution of a Committeefor adoption of technological solutions to avoid such delays. The LIMBS software stands updated in light of the recommendations of the Committee.
- Member referred to the VC held on 21.12.2021(minutes circulated vide DLA letter no. F. No. 1080/54/DLA/SC/2021 dated 03.01.2022) wherein the field formations were called upon to send all SLP/CA proposals mandatorily through LIMBS/e-office. Contact numbers of NIC support engineers were also provided. The field formations were also urged to update the CNR no. in LIMBS data of all the High Court cases for automatic updating of status in the High Court matters in LIMBS.
- The Supreme Court vide its order dated 7.03.2022 has now sought statistics 5. relating to the SLPs filed within limitation and beyond limitation. The Member mentioned that the progress in the implementation of the directions has been found to be sluggish.

- 6. A Zone-wise review was undertaken and all the PCCs/CCs/PCs requested to ensure compliance with directions to send proposals through LIMBS and update CNRs in the LIMBS portal.
- 7. Sh. R. K. Kaul, Sr. Technical Director, NIC presented a brief overview of the changes in the LIMBS software with the participants. He informed that for some of the High Courts, including the Hon'ble Delhi High Court, automatic data updation is not happening on LIMBS. Therefore, SMS/e-mails etc are not currently being sent to users as envisaged. However, once the automatic data updation starts happening, the SMS/e-mail facility would commence, and users would get regular alerts.

He mentioned that the integration of CBIC e- office and LIMBS has already been completed to facilitate a seamless transfer between the two. He also informed that now CAS is also integrated with e-office and LIMBS. He further informed that it has remains to be done for CBDT.

He called upon the field offices to contact him and his team anytime, for any technical assistance pertaining to LIMBS and the interoperability of LIMBS with e-office. For any query, he and his team can be contacted via phone (mobile no.-9891961136), SMS, e-mail <u>rkkaul@nic.in</u> etc.

8. Member also invited attention to the directions conveyed earlier with regard to e-filing of revenue appeals before High Courts and advised the Chief Commissioners to closely monitor the same.

(Mahendra Ranga) Principal Commissioner

Encl: As above

To

- 1. Sr. Principal Private Secretary to Member (Legal), CBIC
- 2. All Principal Chief Commissioners/ Chief Commissioners/ Principal Director Generals/ Director Generals
- 3. The Commissioner (Legal), CBIC
- 4. The Joint Secretary (Review), CBIC

Annexure-A

| Sl. No. | Zone | Name of the officers | Designation |
|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | CGST Ahmedabad | Ms. Seema Arora | Chief Commissioner |
| 2 | CGST Bengaluru | Ms. Ranjana Jha | Pr. Chief Commissioner |
| 3 | CGST Bhopal | Sh.Navneet Goel | Chief Commissioner |
| 4 | CGST Bhubaneshwar | Sh.Bijoy Kumar Kar | Principal Commissioner |
| 5 | CGST Chandigarh | Ms. Aruna Narayan Gupta | Chief Commissioner |
| 6 | CGST Chennai | Sh.M.V.S. Choudary | Chief Commissioner |
| 7 | CGST Delhi | Ms. Mallika Arya | Principal Chief Commissioner |
| 8 | CGST Hyderabad | Ms. B.V. Sivanaga Kumari | Chief Commissioner |
| 9 | PC, CGST Jaipur | Sh.C.P. Goyal | Principal Commissioner |
| 10 | CGST Kolkata | Sh.A.P.S. Suri | Pr. Chief Commissioner |
| 11 | CGST Lucknow | Sh.P.K. Goel | Pr. Chief Commissioner |
| 12 | CGST Meerut | Sh. P.K. Goel | Pr. Chief Commissioner |
| 13 | CGST Mumbai | Sh. Ashok Kumar Mehta | Pr. Chief Commissioner |
| 14 | CGST Panchkula | Sh. Rajesh Sodhi | Chief Commissioner |
| 15 | CGST Pune | Sh. Atul Gupta | Commissioner |
| 16 | CGST Shillong/Guwahati | Sh. Ashutosh Awasthi | Principal Commissioner |
| 17 | CGST Thiruvanathapuram | Sh. Shyam Raj Prasad | Chief Commissioner |
| 18 | CGST Vadodara | Sh. Ajay Ubale | |
| 19 | CGST Vishakhapatnam | Sh. Suresh Kishnani | Chief Commissioner |
| 20 | Customs Ahmedabad | Sh. Ajay Ubale | Chief Commissioner |
| 21 | Customs Bengaluru | Sh. M.R. Farooqui | Additional Commissioner |
| 22 | Customs Chennai | Sh. M.V.S. Choudary | Chief Commissioner |
| 23 | Customs Delhi | Sh. Surjit Bhujabal | Chief Commissioner |
| 24 | Customs Kolkata | Sh. Anil Kumar Gupta | Chief Commissioner |
| 25 | Customs Mumbai- II | Sh. M.K. Singh | Chief Commissioner |
| 26 | Customs Patna Prev. | Sh. P.K. Katiyar | Principal Commissioner |
| 27. | NIC | Sh. R.K.Kaul | Sr. Technical Director |
| 28. | Dte. of Legal Affairs | Sh. Mahendra Ranga | Principal Commissioner |
| 29. | Judicial Wing | Sh. Jitendra Kumar | JS (Review) |
| 30. | Legal Cell | Sh. Gaurav Kumar | Commissioner |

Other officers present and assisting the PCCs/CCs have not been listed.

High Court wise summary (Cases with CNR)

| (Cases with Civity | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| S. No. | Name of High Court/Bench | Total Cases (Nos) | Cases with CNR (Nos) | % age (with CNR) | | | |
| 1 | Agartala | 23 | 7 | 30.43 | | | |
| 2 | Ahmedabad | 2287 | 1827 | 79.89 | | | |
| 3 | Aizawl | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | | | |
| 4 | Allahabad | 878 | 431 | 49.09 | | | |
| 5 | Amaravati | 1470 | 920 | 62.59 | | | |
| 6 | Anantpuram | 3 | 0 | 0.00 | | | |
| 7 | Aurangabad | 140 | 118 | 84.29 | | | |
| 8 | Bengaluru | 726 | 590 | 81.27 | | | |
| 9 | Bhubaneshwar | 2 | 1 | 50.00 | | | |
| 10 | Bilaspur | 154 | 78 | 50.65 | | | |
| 11 | Calcutta | 1679 | 801 | 47.71 | | | |
| 12 | Chandigarh | 1356 | 416 | 30.68 | | | |
| 13 | Chennai | 168 | 108 | 64.29 | | | |
| 14 | Cuttack | 486 | 312 | 64.20 | | | |
| 15 | Dehradun | 1 | 1 | 100.00 | | | |
| 16 | Delhi | 1375 | 16 | 1.16 | | | |
| 17 | Dharwad | 99 | 62 | 62.63 | | | |
| 18 | Ernakulam | 1297 | 826 | 63.69 | | | |
| 19 | Gangtok | 4 | . 4 | 100.00 | | | |
| 20 | Gul Barga | 16 | 8 | 50.00 | | | |
| 21 | Guwahati | 356 | 261 | 73.31 | | | |
| 22 | Gwalior | 37 | 19 | 51.35 | | | |
| 23 | Hyderabad | 728 | 588 | 80.77 | | | |
| 24 | Imphal | 3 | 3 | 100.00 | | | |
| 25 | Indore | 274 | 146 | 53.28 | | | |
| 26 | Itanagar | 1 | . 1 | 100.00 | | | |
| 27 | Jabalpur | 323 | 227 | 70.28 | | | |
| 28 | Jaipur | 755 | 651 | 86.23 | | | |
| 29 | Jalpaiguri | 20 | 15 | 75.00 | | | |
| 30 | J & K | 653 | 20 | 3.06 | | | |
| 31 | Jammu bench | 521 | 26 | 4.99 | | | |
| 32 | Jodhpur | 495 | 476 | | | | |
| 33 | Kochchi | 93 | 74 | 79.57 | | | |

| 34 | Kohima bench | 1 | 0 | 0.00 |
|----|----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 35 | Lucknow bench | 136 | 4 | 2.94 |
| 36 | Madras | 3418 | 1998 | 58.46 |
| 37 | Madurai | 659 | 603 | 91.50 |
| 38 | Mumbai | 3056 | 1215 | 39.76 |
| 39 | Nainital bench | 178 | 175 | 98.31 |
| 40 | Nagpur | 101 | 4 | 3.96 |
| 41 | Panji | 46 | 23 | 50.00 |
| 42 | Patna | 348 | 131 | 37.64 |
| 43 | Ranchi | 356 | 79 | 22.19 |
| 44 | Shilong | 74 | 66 | 89.19 |
| 45 | Shimla | 112 | 103 | 91.96 |
| 46 | Trivendrum | 132 | 125 | 94.70 |
| 47 | Others | 1 | 0 | 0.00 |
| | Total | 25042 | 13560 | 54.15 |

.

Zone-wise Exception* Cases (including Supreme Court, High Court and CESTAT cases) on LIMBS Portal

| Sl.No. | Zone | Total Cases (Nos.) | Total Exception Cases (Nos.) | %age of Exception Cases |
|--------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | CGST Ahmedabad | 4739 | 47 | 0.99 |
| 2 | CGST Bengaluru | 5318 | 2860 | 53.78 |
| 3 | CGST Bhopal | 2362 | 1992 | 84.34 |
| 4 | CGST Bhubaneshwar | 1700 | 55 | 3.24 |
| 5 | CGST Chandigarh | 4218 | 3012 . | 71.41 |
| 6 | CGST Chennai | 6888 | 2352 | 34.15 |
| 7 | CGST Delhi | 1245 | 525 | 42.17 |
| 8 | CGST Hyderabad | 3731 | 1865 | 49.99 |
| 9 | CGST Jaipur | 2664 | 460 | 17.27 |
| 10 | CGST Kolkata | 5634 | 5406 | 95.95 |
| 11 | CGST Lucknow | 1083 | 876 | 80.89 |
| 12 | CGST Meerut | 2336 | 1912 | 81.85 |
| 13 | CGST Mumbai | 7341 | 4057 | 55.26 |
| 14 | CGST Nagpur | 2501 | 2368 | 94.68 |
| 15 | CGST Panchkula | 2847 | 2743 | 96.35 |
| 16 | CGST Pune | 2697 | 1404 | 52.06 |
| 17 | CGST Ranchi | 2479 | 1849 | 74.59 |
| 18 | CGST Shillong/Guwahati | 1377 | 563 | 40.89 |
| 19 | CGST Thiruvanathapuram | 3845 | 1464 | 38.08 |
| 20 | CGST Vadodara | 3125 | 1144 | 36.61 |
| 21 | CGST Visakhapatnam | 4830 | 3219 | 66.65 |
| 22 | Customs Ahmedabad | 3409 | 878 | 25.76 |
| 23 | Customs Bengaluru | 984 | 112 | 11.38 |
| 24 | Customs Chennai | 4694 | 2722 | 57.99 |
| 25 | Customs Delhi | 1621 | 1447 | 89.27 |
| 26 | Customs Delhi Prev. | 1158 | 914 | 78.93 |
| 27 | Customs Kolkata | 1801 | 1178 | 65.41 |
| 28 | Customs Mumbai-I | 2208 | 1277 | 57.84 |
| 29 | Customs Mumbai-II | 1532 | 264 | 17.23 |
| 30 | Customs Mumbai-III | 2038 | 1036 | 50.83 |
| 31 | Customs Patna Prev. | 482 | 140 | 29.05 |
| 32 | Customs Trichy Prev. | 1785 | 942 | 52.77 |
| | Grand Total | 94672 | 51083 | 53.96 |

^{*} Exception cases are the cases where all fields have not been filled.